

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,068	04/26/2001	Richard D. Hoffman	AUS920010138US1	8481

7590 07/01/2004

Frank C. Nicholas
CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, IL 60201

EXAMINER

CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2178

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/843,068

Applicant(s)

HOFFMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Joshua D Campbell

Art Unit

2178

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed on 04/26/2001 and IDS filed 04/26/2001.
2. Claims 1-18 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, and 16 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Microsoft Excel (hereinafter Excel, Microsoft Excel 2000, released in 1999).

Regarding independent claim 1, Excel discloses a method in which an option is provided to trigger the conversion of a spreadsheet into a comma-separated file (Figure 2 of Excel). Excel also discloses a method in which the spreadsheet contains metadata (i.e. location of data, rows, columns, format, etc.) (Figure 1 of Excel)

Regarding dependent claim 2, Excel discloses a method in which the spreadsheet is converted into the comma separated value file in response to the activation of the command (Figure 2 of Excel). Excel also discloses that a comma-

separated file can be parsed and metadata objects can be created as a result from the information in the file (Figures 3-5 of Excel).

Regarding dependent claim 3, Excel discloses a method in which metadata may be input into the spreadsheet (Figure 1 of Excel).

Regarding independent claim 4, Excel discloses a method in which an option is provided to trigger the conversion of a spreadsheet into a comma-separated file (Figure 2 of Excel). Excel also discloses a method in which the spreadsheet contains metadata (i.e. location of data, rows, columns, format, etc.) (Figure 1 of Excel) Metadata directives are included in the formatting to show where the specific metadata is stored (i.e. column and row) (Figure 4 and 5 of Excel).

Regarding dependent claim 5, Excel discloses a method in which the spreadsheet is converted into the comma separated value file in response to the activation of the command (Figure 2 of Excel). Excel also discloses that a comma-separated file can be parsed and metadata objects can be created as a result from the information in the file (Figures 3-5 of Excel). Metadata directives are included in the formatting to show where the specific metadata objects are stored (i.e. column and row) (Figure 4 and 5 of Excel).

Regarding dependent claim 6, Excel discloses a method in which metadata may be input into the spreadsheet (Figure 1 of Excel). Metadata directives are included in the formatting based on inputs to show where the specific metadata is stored (i.e. column and row) (Figure 4 and 5 of Excel).

Regarding independent claim 7 and dependent claims 8 and 9, the claims incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claims 1-3. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 1-3.

Regarding independent claim 10 and dependent claims 11 and 12, the claims incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claims 4-6. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 4-6.

Regarding independent claim 13 and dependent claims 14 and 15, the claims incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claims 1-3. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 1-3.

Regarding independent claim 16 and dependent claims 17 and 18, the claims incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claims 4-6. Thus, the claims are rejected along the same rationale as claims 4-6.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US Patent Number 5,721,911

US Patent Number 6,078,924

US Patent Number 6,453,356

US Patent Number 6,615,258

US Patent Number 6,631,402

US Patent Number 6,714,979

US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0095399


US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0129106

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D Campbell whose telephone number is (703)305-5764. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703)308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDC
June 22, 2004



STEPHEN S. HONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER